e-ISSN 3050-9637
p-ISSN 2795-3222

Check for updates

SOCIAL SCIENCE AND HUMANITIES RESEARCH
www.gphjournal.org
Open Access
Global Publication House
International Journal

10.5281/zenodo.15365962 Vol. 08 Issue 04 April - 2025 Manuscript ID: #01908

Disney's Pixar Animation Studios Acquisition Case: Revitalization or Trouble?

Pedro Paulo Rigoni Gasparini
Fundação Getulio Vargas, Brazil.

Keitiane Barros Vieira
Fundação Getulio Vargas, Brazil.

Murillo de Oliveira Dias
Fundação Getulio Vargas, Brazil.
Corresponding author: *agenda.murillo@gmail.com*

Abstract

The work analyzes Pixar Animation Studios' history, including Disney's acquisition of Pixar in 2006. Pixar was founded in 1986 and is located in Emeryville, California. However, Pixar started its operations as part of the Lucasfilm division. Pixar has produced 28 movies, earning 23 Academy, 11 Grammy, and 10 Golden Globe awards. Obviously, Pixar revised Disney's creative process, but at what cost? We analyze the business negotiations, sequels, COVID-19 challenges, and financial struggles. Discussion and lessons learned comprise this article.

Keywords:

Business negotiation; Type IV negotiation; Film industry; Pixar Studios; Disney.

How to cite: Gasparini, P. P., Vieira, K. B., & Dias, M. (2025). Disney's Pixar Animation Studios Acquisition Case: Revitalization or Trouble?. *GPH-International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research*, 8(04), 46-57. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15365962

1. INTRODUCTION

Due to globalization, companies' mergers and acquisitions potentially increase market share, strengthen brands, and provide access to new technologies (Kochnev, 2016). They may include cost savings but also present challenges like organizational cultural integration complexities (Cortes, Dias & Lafraia, 2025), investigated in this descriptive single case study (Yin, 2004). In 2006, Disney acquired Pixar Animation Studios for $7.4 billion, one of the most significant acquisitions in the North American film industry, surpassed in 2021 by Amazon, which acquired MGM for 8.45 billion (Xu et al.,2022). In addition, Disney's acquisition was an attempt to restore the market animation domination (Kochnev, 2016). In 2006, Marvel was a competitor. Disney also acquired Marvel Entertainment for $4 billion. The Walt Disney Company became prominent in the streaming video market. However, acquiring a company has its pros and cons. Merging organizational cultures may be a troublesome issue. In this article, we address the history of Pixar Animation Studios before and after the acquisition. In this case, all the parties engaged in a Type IV business negotiation (Dias, 2020), where multiple parties negotiate multiple items.

Negotiation captivates scholars' interest for the past decades (Dias, 2023; Dias, 2023a; Dias,2023b; Dias et al., 2023; Navarro & Dias, 2024; Santos & Dias, 2024; Fisher, Ury & Patton, 1981; Kissinger, 1969; Lax & Sebenius, 1986; Raiffa, Richardson & Metcalfe, 2002; Rubin & Brown, 1975; Pruitt, 1981). In addition, the field of research has been studied through different nuances, such as business negotiations (Dias, Toledo, Silva, et al., 2022; Dias, Lafraia, Schmitz et al., 2024; Dias, Pereira, Teles & Lafraia, 2023; Dias, Leitão, Batista & Medeiros, 2022; Santos & Dias, 2024; Dias, Pereira, Teles & Lafraia, 2023; Dias, 2023; Dias, Pereira, Vieira, et al., 2023), as a leadership competence (Acuff, 1993; Salacuse, 2003, 2006; Shell, 2006), as an important capability for conflict resolution (Zartman, 1988); as decision-making (Bazerman & Moore, 1994); regarding trust in negotiators (Dias, 2016; Schatzki & Coffey, 1981); in retail business (Valente & Dias, 2023); including software negotiations (Cunha & Dias, 2021; Dias, Nascimento et al., 2021), and other complex negotiations, both civilian and military (Dias, Toledo, Silva, Santos et at., 2022; Dias, Pires et al., 2022; Dias, Almeida, Silva, Russo, et al., 2022). This study addresses a Type IV negotiation (Dias, 2020), as depicted in Figure 1:

Figure 1 The Four-Type Negotiation Matrix
Source: Dias, 2020. Reprinted under permission

2. METHODOLOGY

This article employed an inductive approach with interpretive philosophy in line with Saunders, Lewis, and Thornhill (2009). Following Yin (2004), we investigated one digital transformation negotiation between several parties in a merger and acquisition process, in which the unit of analysis is Disney's 2006 acquisition of Pixar Animation Studios.

3. BACKGROUND

Pixar started its operations in 1976 as part of the Lucasfilm computer division in California. Initially called Graphics Group, Pixar was formally incorporated on 3 February 1986, with Steve Jobs as the majority shareholder. Pixar's first blockbuster was *Toy Story*, launched in 1995, whose digital animation was revolutionary, causing it to quickly become a reference in the sector (Catmull, 2008).In 1982, the Pixar team began working on special-effects film sequences with Industrial Light & Magic. After years of research and milestones, the group was spun out as a corporation in 1986. With Lucas Film's separation in 1983, which coincided with the sudden drop-off in revenues from Star Wars licenses following the release of Return of the Jedi, the team decided to turn the group into an independent company to keep the team together. They decided to focus on a proper product until then and be a hardware company with their Pixar Image Computer as the core product. In 1983, Nolan Bushnell founded Kadabrascope, a computer-guided animation studio, as a subsidiary of his Chuck E. Cheese's Pizza Time Theatres company (PTT). After the 1983 video game crash, Bushnell sold some of the subsidiaries to keep the business afloat (Catmull, 2008).

In the 1990s, Pixar developed "Pixar Braintrust," a creative development process where directors, writers, and storyboard artists regularly critique each other's projects. This "filmmaker-driven studio" approach differs from the traditional Hollywood approach, where development executives micromanage directors. Originating from the Toy Story collaboration, it encourages peer review and constructive criticism (Catmull, 2008). The initiative culminated with the Toy Story blockbuster in 1995. As a result, Pixar built a new studio at Emeryville, California.

Still in the 90s, Pixar and Disney entered into a distribution agreement, resulting from the success of the film Toy Story, which Pixar made. In the cooperation agreement, Pixar would be responsible for production, the story, and sequel rights, while Disney would distribute the sequels.The deal was considered an incredible commercial success, as it yielded iconic animations, such as *A Bug's Life* (1998), *Monsters Inc.* (2001), *Finding Nemo* (2003), and *The Incredibles* (2004).However, tensions began to arise, as Pixar wanted greater control over its productions and better contractual conditions, while Disney insisted on keeping the rights to the films and characters created.

Collaboration with Disney comes from the long term. However, Pixar and Disney had disagreements over the production of Toy Story 2, initially intended for a direct-to-video release. After being upgraded to a theatrical release, Pixar demanded inclusion in the three-picture deal, but Disney refused. The arrangement was not equitable.

Finally, Pixar has won numerous awards, including 23 Academy Awards, 10 Golden Globe Awards, and 11 Grammy Awards. Since then, eleven Pixar films have won the Academy Award for Best Animated Feature, including Finding Nemo, The Incredibles, Ratatouille, WALL-E, Up, Toy Story 3 and 4, Brave, Inside Out, Coco, and Soul. Toy Story 3 and Up were also nominated for Best Picture.

4. DISNEY'S PIXAR ANIMATION STUDIOS ACQUISITION: NEGOTIATION

The negotiations started **on 24** January and ended on **5** May 2009. For the type IV negotiation (Dias, 2020), it is possible to observe elements of both collaborative and competitive negotiation. They were competitive at first, with Jobs wanting a very favorable deal for Pixar, but collaborative later, thanks to Bob Iger's action.

In the case of ZOPA (Zone of Possible Deals), we need the value of Disney's sub-$6 billion bid in 2005, Disney's bid greater than 7 billion, and Disney's final bid of $7.4 billion plus all-stock deal. Therefore, the ZOPA would be 7 to 7.4 billion dollars.

The agreement involved material interests, it can be said that there was a BATNA (Best Alternative To a Negotiated Agreement) since in the beginning there was talk of contracts between Pixar and Disney, which culminated in the end in the purchase of Pixar, and without a formal mediator, although it is possible to say that Bob Iger acted as a mediator, as he rebuilt the relationship with Steve Jobs, It relaxed the conditions of the agreement. It created an environment of trust, allowing Pixar's leadership to take key positions at Disney Animation (Capodagli& Jackson, 2010).

As a result, 49.65% of the total share interest in Pixar, the deal propelled Jobs, who held 7%, valued at $3.9 billion, to Disney's top individual shareholder with a fresh seat on its board of directors. Jobs' new Disney interests topped those of Eisner, the former top shareholder still owning 1.7%; and Disney Director Emeritus Roy E. Disney, holding roughly 1% of the company's shares. For every share of Pixar common stock redeemed, owners of the company got 2.3 shares of Disney common stock(Capodagli& Jackson, 2010).

5. OVERCOMING CHALLENGES

Cultural conflicts between the two firms accompanied the difficulties of the Disney-Pixar merger, mainly because the agreement assured Pixar's independence, which is not a controlled company, but kept Disney's subsidiary status, keeping its independence. Pixar feared Disney would destroy its unique culture. Furthermore, there were questions about creative control as Disney would make most of the choices, possibly limiting Pixar's artistic flexibility. The merger presented structural difficulties as Pixar artists would no longer be autonomous, and possible disputes would result from different corporate cultures and beliefs. Moreover, combining Disney's conventional techniques with Pixar's bio pace-centric approach might have proved problematic. Notwithstanding these difficulties, the merger finally turned out well because of thorough preparation, capable leadership, and an emphasis on maintaining Pixar's artistic legacy(Catmull, 2008).

7. LESSONS LEARNED

Some of the lessons from the Business Negotiation and Pixar's acquisition remain: (a) **Preserving Pixar's creative ethos** was vital for the merger's success. Disney maintained Pixar's management in place and developed policies to protect its culture, therefore guaranteeing a seamless transition. (b) **Strategic alliances:** The merger emphasizes the advantages of businesses with complementary capabilities working together. While Pixar profited from Disney's distribution network and financial resources, Disney acquired access to Pixar's technology and creative talent.The merger shows how two businesses may provide more value than their individual pieces taken together. Disney and Pixar's cooperation produced more income, better efficiency, and more creative production.Sound leadership was crucial in directing the development of the United Business. Disney and Pixar assembled a capable group of executives to supervise the merging process. (c) **Risk Management:** Although mergers may be somewhat dangerous, the example illustrates that they can also be successful with proper preparation and negotiation. (d) **Innovation and Technology:** The combination highlights how crucial these factors are to propel company development. Disney kept competitive in the animation business by acquiring Pixar.The success of the merger depended critically on allowing Pixar to have its creative freedom. This guaranteed that Pixar's artistic flexibility and creative energy remained intact.

Michael Eisner, CEO of Disney before Bob Iger, could have resolved this conflict before 2006, as he had 21 years of experience as CEO to do so, but he had an inflexible stance, and could have offered better distribution and authorship contracts to Pixar, which generated great tensions with it, culminating in Steve Jobs announcing the end of his partnership with Disney in 2004, which added to already existing tensions he had with Disney's Board of Directors and Roy E. Disney, Walt Disney's nephew and one of the company's main shareholders, who criticized his management and lack of innovation. Roy Disney led a campaign called "Save Disney," pushing for Eisner's departure.

Now, as for Pixar, it could have been acquired for a higher value, as it was the best on the market at the time, not only that, but Disney (Bob Iger) knew that Disney urgently needed Pixar, as its own animations were having less success and positive financial feedback than it, that is, Steve Jobs could have raised its offer even more, forcing Disney to pay an even higher amount, since after all, Pixar was extremely profitable, and could have sought a new distributor or even operated independently, only accepting the deal due to Jobs' satisfactory position with the deal made by Bob Iger, of 7.4 Billion.

As for Disney, it could have given incentives or better contractual conditions to stay, as well as stock options to retain Pixar's talent in the long term (since John Lasseter would leave Pixar in 2018), and also retention clauses, to prevent Pixar's top talent from leaving and founding new competing studios. at least for a specific period.

9. IMPLICATIONS AND DISCUSSION

This case analyzed the history of Pixar Animation Studios, a company founded in 1986 and located in Emeryville, California. Pixar has produced 28 movies and earned 23 Academy, 11 Grammy, and 10 Golden Globe awards. Disney acquired Pixar Animation Studios in 2006 for $7.4 billion, one of the most significant acquisitions in the North American film industry. The acquisition was an attempt to restore market animation domination, and Disney also acquired Marvel Entertainment for $4 billion. The acquisition was a Type IV business negotiation, where multiple parties negotiate multiple items. This study employed an inductive approach with interpretive philosophy, following Yin (2004), and investigated one digital transformation negotiation between several parties in a merger and acquisition process, in which the unit of analysis was Disney's 2006 acquisition of Pixar Animation Studios

There are implications when Disney bought Pixar Animation Studios for $7.4 billion in 2006; the Disney-Pixar merger case implies difficulties in combining two different company cultures. Disney's strategic leadership and dedication to maintaining Pixar's inventive culture helped the merger succeed, even if it presented difficulties like possible creative differences and cultural conflicts. Maintaining Pixar's creative freedom, including integrating Pixar's leadership into Disney's structure, and using Pixar's knowledge to revive Disney's animation sector, were key elements helping the merger succeed. The story shows how strategic preparation, cultural awareness, and good leadership help negotiate challenging business mergers and acquisitions.

There are also some implications in other research topics, where this study exerts some a given influence on other research fields and subfields, such as business negotiations (Dias, 2020a; Dias, 2020b; Dias, 2020c; Dias, Duzert& Lopes, 2021; Dias, Waltz & Oliveira, 2021); family business negotiations (Dias, Lopes & Teles, 2020; Dias & Lopes, 2020; Dias & Navarro, 2020; Dias, Lopes &Duzert, 2020;Sartori et al., 2020),including role-play simulations on business negotiations (Dias, Lopes, Cavalcanti &Golfetto, 2020; Dias & Silva, 2021; Dias, Netto, Oliveira et al., 2021; Dias, Andrade, Sotoriva, et al., 2021; Dias & Lopes, 2021), and streaming video business (Dias & Navarro, 2018; Dias &Duzert, 2021).

6. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the Disney-Pixar combination was a strategic triumph, using the assets of both businesses to create an animation powerhouse. Disney used Pixar's creative energy and experience while safeguarding its independence and creative ethos, ushering in a new era of profitable movies and restored brand development. The combination highlights the need for strategic planning, cultural awareness, and good leadership in reaching effective corporate mergers.

REFERENCES

Bazerman, M. H., & Moore, D. A. (1994). *Judgment in managerial decision making*. Wiley.

Brown, N., & Brown, I. (2019). From digital business strategy to digital transformation-How: A systematic literature review. *Proceedings of the South African Institute of Computer Scientists and Information Technologists 2019*, 1-8.

Capodagli, B., & Jackson, L. (2010). *Nos bastidores da Pixar: lições do palygroundcorporativomaiscriativo do mundo*. Saraiva.

Catmull, E. (2008). *How Pixar fosters collective creativity*. Harvard Business School Publishing.

Cortes R., Dias, M., Lafraia, J. (2025). Changing Organizational Culture in Family Business Succession: A Case Study of a Brazilian Company *Journal of Educational Research 8*(2), 111-127. Doi: 10.5281/zenodo.14996464

Ciriello, R. F., Richter, A., & Schwabe, G. (2018). Digital innovation. *Business & Information Systems Engineering, 60*, 563-569.

Cunha, N.C., Dias, M. (2021) Contract Negotiation: When the Detail Saved the Day.*GSJ 9*(12), 130-141; https://doi.org/ 10.11216/gsj.2021.12.56418

Demirkan, H., Spohrer, J. C., & Welser, J. J. (2016). Digital innovation and strategic transformation. *It Professional*, *18*(6), 14-18.

Dias, M (2021) Is the Covid-19 Pandemic Promoting More Empathetic Internal Business Negotiations? *International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management Studies, 3*(2), 51-64.https://doi.org/ 10.6084/m9.figshare.14346521

Dias, M., Navarro, R. (2018). Is Netflix Dominating Brazil? *International Journal of Business and Management Review*. 6, No.1, 19-32, January 2018. ISSN: 2052-6407. https://doi.org/ 10.6084/m9.figshare.7834643

Dias, M, Duzert, Y. (2021) The Evolution of Netflix In Brazil: The First Decade. *GSJ 9*(12), 1828-1842; https://doi.org/ 10.11216/gsj.2021.12.57011

Dias, M, Leitão, R., Batista, R., Medeiros, D. (2022) Writing the Deal: Statistical Analysis of Brazilian Business Negotiations on Intangible Assets. *European Journal of Business and Management Research*, *7*(1), 61-65; https://doi.org/ 10.24018/ejbmr.2022.7.1.1233

Dias, M. (2020) The Four-Type Negotiation Matrix: A Model for Assessing Negotiation Processes. *British Journal of Education, 8*(5), 40-57. https://doi.org/ 10.37745/bje/vol8.no5.p40-57.2020

Dias, M. (2020a) Is There Any Difference Between Night and Day Business Negotiations? A Statistical Analysis. *Journal of Xidian University, 14*(6), 2417 - 2430. https://doi.org/ 10.37896/jxu14.6/287

Dias, M. (2020b) Predictive Model on Intangible Assets Negotiation: Linear Regression Analysis. *Journal of Xidian University, 14*(7), 1420-1433. https://doi.org/ 10.37896/jxu14.7/161

Dias, M. (2020c) Structured versus Situational Business Negotiation Approaches. *Journal of Xidian University*, *14*(6), 1591 - 1604. https://doi.org/ 10.37896/jxu14.6/192

Dias, M. (2020d) The Effectiveness of Mediation in Brazilian Business Negotiations. *European Modern Studies Journal*, *4*(5), 181-188.https://doi.org/ 10.6084/m9.figshare.13066025

Dias, M. Navarro, R. (2020). Three-Strategy Level Negotiation Model and Four-Type Negotiation Matrix Applied to Brazilian Government Negotiation Cases. *British Journal of Management and Marketing Studies, 3*(3), 50-66. https://doi.org/ 10.6084/m9.figshare.12479861

Dias, M., (2023) Teaching Materials on Warehouse Construction Negotiation. *International Journal of Business Management, 6*(9), 89-102, https://doi.org.10.5281/zenodo.8396647

Dias, M., (2023a) Teaching Materials on Paint Shop Business Negotiation. *International Journal of Applied Management Science*, *4*(9), 1-13, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8396627

Dias, M., (2023b) Teaching Materials on Private Healthcare Negotiation. *International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research*, *6*(9), 105-117, https://doi.org.10.5281/zenodo.8396612

Dias, M., (2023c). Teaching Materials on Security Technician Business Negotiation. *International Journal Of Educational Research, 6*(8), 12-27; https://doi.org.10.5281/zenodo.8367744

Dias, M., (2023d). Role-Play Simulation on Locksmith Business Negotiation. *GPH-International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research, 6*(8), 44-56; https://doi.org.1 10.5281/zenodo.8359959

Dias, M., Lafraia, J.,Schmitz, T. & Vieira, P. (2023). Systematic Literature Review on Negotiation & Conflict Management. *European Journal of Theoretical and Applied*

Dias, M., Lopes, R. (2020) Do Social Stereotypes Interfere in Business Negotiations? *British Journal of Marketing Studies, 8*(4), 16-26. https://doi.org/ 10.6084/m9.figshare.12501293.v1

Dias, M., Lopes, R., Cavalcanti, G., Golfetto, V. (2020) Role-Play Simulation on Software Contract Negotiation. *Global Scientific Journals, 8*(6), 1-10. https://doi.org/ 10.11216/gsj.2020.06.40176

Dias, M., Lopes, R., Duzert, Y. (2020) Mapping the Game: Situational versus Structured Negotiations. *Saudi Journal of Economics and Finance, 4*(6): 271-275. https://doi.org/ 10.36348/sjef.2020.v04i06.012

Dias, M., Lopes, R., Teles, A., Castro, A., Pereira, A. (2020) Teaching Materials on Extrajudicial Settlement Negotiation. *Global Scientific Journals, 8*(5), 1529-1539. https://doi.org/ 10.11216/gsj.2020.05.39996

Dias, M., Nascimento, C.; Lima, M.; Santos, A.; Duarte, M.; Rocha, M.; Martins, M.; Mendes, F.; Filho, R.; Marques, L.; Filho, C.C. (2021) Role-Play Simulation on Contract Bidding Negotiation. *GSJ, 9*(9), 486-499.https://doi.org/ 10.11216/gsj.2021.09.54036

Dias, M., Pereira, L., Teles, A. Lafraia, J. (2023) Show Me Your Hands: A Moderator Effect Analysis on Nonverbal Behavior at the Bargaining Table. *EJTAS, 1*(2), 119-127 https://doi.org/10.59324/ejtas.2023.1(2).12

Dias, M., Pereira, L., Vieira, P., Barbosa, L., Quintão, H., Lafraia, J. (2023) Mediation & Dispute Board Resolution: A Systematic Literature Review. *GPH-International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research,6*(5), https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7952719

Dias, M., Toledo, R., Silva, A., Santos,M. , Aragão, M, Junior, M., Rocha, C., Silva,G., Marques Filho, C. (2022) Buyer-Seller Negotiation: Military Cargo Jet Acquisition. *GSJ, 10*(10), 2481-90.https://doi.org/10.11216/gsj.2022.10.78649

Dias, M.; Almeida, F.; Silva; Russo, J.; Machado, V.; Costa, J.; Barbosa, M.; Jornada, F.; Filho, C. (2022) Role-Play Simulation on Vehicle Acquisition: Buyer-Seller Negotiation. *GSJ (10)*8, 1817-28; https://doi.org/ 10.11216/gsj.2022.08.77291

Dias, M.; Andrade, S.; Silva, M. R.; Teles, G.; Mello, B.; Moura, R.; Salazar, A.; Sotoriva, L.M.; Mariotti, A; Filho, C. (2021) Role-play Simulation on Buyer-Seller Knowledge Transfer. *GSJ, 9*(8), 2340-52.https://doi.org/ 10.11216/gsj.2021.08.53672

Dias, M.; Duzert, Y.; Lopes, R. (2021) PerspectivaEpistêmica do Processo de Negociação. *International Journal of Development Research, 11*(7), 48803-10. https://doi.org/ 10.37118/ijdr.22463.07.2021

Dias, M.; Lopes, R. (2021). A Confiançatransformativaemnegociações. *International Journal of Development Research, 11*(6), pp. 48178-82. https://doi.org/ 10.37118/ijdr.22261.06.2021

Dias, M.; Lopes, R. (2021). O dilema da confiançaaplicado à negociação de escopoemgerenciamentosprojetos. *International Journal of Development Research, 11*(8), pp. 49225-30. https://doi.org/ https://doi.org/10.37118/ijdr.22676.08.2021

Dias, M.; Lopes, R.; Teles, A. (2020) Nonparametric Analysis on Structured Brazilian Business Negotiations. *Global Scientific Journal 8*(6), 1511-22. https://doi.org/ 10.13140/RG.2.2.13318.60482

Dias, M.; Netto, P.C; Oliveira, F.; Melo, L.; Cavalcanti, S.; Marques, A.; Silveira, F.M., Bastos, E.H.; Pitangueira, A.L;Vaz, H.; Filho, C.C.(2021) Role-Play Simulation on Land Invasion Negotiation. *GSJ, 9*(8), 2916-29.https://doi.org/ 10.11216/gsj.2021.08.53806

Dias, M.; Silva, L. (2021) Role-Play Simulation on Basic Sanitation Services Contract Negotiation. *Global Scientific Journal, 9*(6), 1081-1098.https://doi.org/ 10.11216/gsj.2021.06.51827

Dias, M.;Pires,R.;Genial, R.;Santos, P.;Araújo, L.;Moura, F.; Lima, S.Nascimento, F. Marques Filho, C. (2022) Case Study on Buyer-Seller Negotiation: Ultrabook Government Acquisition. *GSJ (10)*9, 1737-45; https:/doi.org/10.11216/gsj.2022.09.77913

Dias, Murillo; Waltz, Flavio; Oliveira, Barbara. Y. (2021) **Teaching Materials on Brazilian Private Companies: Software Contract Negotiation.** *Global Scientific Journals, 9*(1), 2499-2508. https://doi.org/ 10.13140/RG.2.2.10976.61448

Fisher, R. and Ury, W., (1981). *Getting to Yes: Negotiating Agreement Without Giving In*. Penguin Books

Hoessler, S. & Carbon, C. (2023). Digital transformation and Ambidexterity: a literature review on exploration and exploitation activities in companies' digital transformation. International Journal of Innovation Management. 26.10.

Khin, Sabai & Ho, Theresa. (2018). Digital technology, digital capability and organizational performance: A mediating role of digital innovation. *International Journal of Innovation Science. 11*. 10.1108/IJIS-08-2018-0083.

Kissinger, H.A., 1969. *Nuclear Weapons and Foreign Policy*. W.W. Norton.

Kochnev, M. (2016). Companies 'mergers and acquisitions in example of The Walt Disney Company and Pixar (*Doctoral dissertation, Masaryk University, Faculty of Economics and Administration*).

Kohli, R., & Melville, N. P. (2019). Digital innovation: A review and synthesis. *Information Systems Journal*, *29*(1), 200-223.

Lax, D.A., & Sebenius, J.K. (1986). *The Manager as Negotiator: Bargaining for Cooperation and Competitive Gain*.

Li, F. (2020). The digital transformation of business models in the creative industries: A holistic framework and emerging trends. Technovation, 92, 102012.

Liu, G., Liu, J., Gao, P., Yu, J., & Pu, Z. (2024). Understanding mechanisms of digital transformation in state-owned enterprises in China: An institutional perspective. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *202*, 123288.

Xu, L., Zhang, H., & Zhang, L. (2022). Doing a Deal? Merger and Acquisition Negotiation and Business Strategy Analysis: Case Study of Amazon and MGM. *Journal of Economics, Business and Management*, *10*(3), 159-164.

Navarro, R. , Dias, M. (2024)Nonmarket Negotiations:Leveraging Performance when Negotiating with Governments, Influencers, Media, NGOs, Communities and other Key Stakeholders.*BJMAS,5*(2),90-113.DOI:10.37745/bjmas.2022.0460

New York Times (2006) *Disney to Buy Pixar for $7.4 Billion*. Retrieved from https://www.nytimes.com/2006/01/24/business/disney-to-buy-pixar-for-74-billion.html on 07 May 2025.

Nylén, D., & Holmström, J. (2015). Digital innovation strategy: A framework for diagnosing and improving digital product and service innovation. *Business horizons*, *58*(1), 57-67.

Ozturk-Kose, E. (2024). Digital Transformation and Innovation: The Role of Institutional Conditions and Emerging Economies. In *New Strategy Models in Digital Entrepreneurship* (pp. 278-295). IGI Global.

Patalon, M., &Wyczisk, A. (2024). Mapping digital transformation of municipalities through the lens of institutional isomorphism. *International Journal on Social and Education Sciences (IJonSES)*, *6*(4), 600-635.

Pruitt, D.G. (1981). *Negotiation Behavior.* Academic press.

Raiffa, H., Richardson, J., & Metcalfe, D. (2002). *Negotiation analysis: The science and art of collaborative decision making.* Harvard University Press

Rubin, K. H., & Brown, I. D. (1975). A life-span look at person perception and its relationship to communicative interaction. *Journal of Gerontology, 30*(4), 461-468.

Salacuse, J. (2003). *The Global Negotiator*. New York: Palgrave, Macmillan.

Salacuse, J. (2006). *Leading Leaders: how to Manage Smart, Talented, Rich and Powerful People.* NY: AMACOM.

Santos, M. and Dias, M. (2024) The Seven Forces That Shape Trust in Virtual Negotiation: A Qualitative Study. *Open Journal of Business and Management*, 12, 2208-2223. doi: 10.4236/ojbm.2024.124113.

Santos, M.; Dias, M. (2024). Best Practices for Building Trust in Virtual Business Negotiations,*British Journal of Multidisciplinary and Advanced Studies*, *5*(2),45-66; https://doi.org/10.37745/bjmas.2022.0450

Sartori, S.; Jantsch, M. Dias, M. Navarro, R. (2020) Negotiating with Indigenous Peoples: Land Area Acquisition for the Fulkaxó Reserve in Brazil. *Saudi Journal of Economics and Finance*, *4*(9), 457-461.https://doi.org/ 10.36348/sjef.2020.v04i09.006

Saunders, M.; Lewis, P.; Thornhill, A. (2009). *Research Methods for Business Students*. Prentice Hall, 5th edition.

Schatzki, M.; Coffey; W. (1981). *Negotiation: The Art of Getting What You Want*. Signet

Schiavi, G. S., Behr, A., &Marcolin, C. B. (2024). Institutional theory in accounting information systems research: Shedding light on digital transformation and institutional change. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, *52*, 100662.

Shell, Richard (2006). *Bargaining for Advantage*. Penguin Books.

Valente, R., and Dias, M. (2023)How To Structure A Retail Pharmacy Business Negotiation. *Gph-International Journal Of Business Management*, 6 (4), 1-15; https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7817264

Yin, R. K. (2004). *The case study anthology*. Sage.

Zartman, I. W. (1988). Common elements in the analysis of the negotiation process. *Negotiation Journal*, *4*(1), 31-43.