

Negotiating a Fair Settlement after a Traumatic Accident: a Brazilian Civil Case

João Vitor Vieira Rico

Universidade Estadual de Londrina, Brazil

Murillo de Oliveira Dias

(DSc) Rennes School of Business, France

**Correspondence: DR. MURILLO DIAS. E-mail: @agenda.murillo@gmail.com*

Abstract

This case examines the negotiation process between a student and a bus company after an accident involving the Brasilia (DF) bus. The bus company aims to establish a final indemnity agreement to resolve the matter outside of court, while the student's family seeks just compensation for the ongoing effects of the accident on her life. The negotiation process underscores the difficulties in reaching a consensus, taking into account the company's liability, the seriousness of the student's injuries, and the differing expectations of both parties. This case study sheds light on the intricacies of negotiation and conflict resolution in personal injury scenarios.

Keywords: *Negotiation; Indemnity agreement; Personal injury; Conflict resolution*

1. Introduction

Negotiation plays a crucial role in resolving disputes across various contexts, including personal injury claims. When conflicts arise, the involved parties typically strive to negotiate a mutually acceptable resolution, thereby avoiding litigation. This study focuses on a negotiation between a Brazilian student and a representative from a bus company following an accident. While the student's family seeks fair compensation for the lasting impact of the incident, the bus company is focused on finalizing an indemnity agreement. To maintain ethical standards, the identities of the individuals involved have been anonymized. This negotiation is classified as a Type III negotiation according to the Four-Type Negotiation Matrix (Dias, 2020), where multiple parties engage to negotiate a single issue: financial compensation. This study aims to explore the negotiation process, emphasizing the challenges and opportunities that arise from interactions among parties with differing interests and expectations.

Negotiation is defined as "a process of potentially opportunistic interaction by which two or more parties, with some apparent conflict, seek to do better through jointly decided action than they could otherwise" (Lax and Sebenius, 1986, p.11). Over recent decades, extrajudicial negotiation has garnered significant attention (Dias et al., 2023; Dias, 2023; Fisher, Ury & Patton, 1981; Kissinger, 1969; Lax & Sebenius, 1986). Effective negotiation requires a comprehensive understanding of the involved parties, their interests, and the context of the negotiations (Fisher, Ury & Patton, 1981; Salacuse, 2003, 2006; Shell, 2006). Advantages of negotiation include reduced costs, time savings, and the preservation of relationships, allowing parties to explore flexible and creative solutions while maintaining control over the final outcomes (Delgado & Dias, 2025; Gasparini et al., 2025; Oliveira, Souza & Dias, 2025). This case study delves into the subtleties of legal negotiation, particularly focusing on Type III negotiations (Dias, 2020).

2. Methodology

The study adopts a qualitative research methodology, employing a single case study design to analyze the complexities of a judicial settlement (Yin, 2004). The judicial

settlement serves as the unit of analysis, facilitating a thorough exploration of the negotiation process and its results. An interpretive approach is utilized to grasp the meanings and interpretations of the parties involved in the settlement (Saunders et al., 2009), providing a rich context and understanding of the negotiation complexities.

The exploratory nature of this study seeks to uncover insights into the judicial settlement process and its dynamics (Saunders et al., 2009). An inductive methodology allows the data to inform the development of themes and patterns (Bryman & Bell, 2015). The case study conducts a detailed examination of the negotiation process, focusing on the interactions, communication, and decision-making of the parties involved. Data collection includes document analysis and thematic analysis to identify emerging patterns and themes. This method offers a nuanced comprehension of the judicial settlement process and its implications, as illustrated in Figure 1:



Figure 1. The Four-Type Negotiation Matrix
Source: Dias, 2020. Reprinted under permission.

3. Background and Negotiation

A typical day for a law student at the University of Brasília in central-western Brazil turned dramatically when she boarded a bus that would alter her life irrevocably. During the ride, an unexpected noise from the front door caused her to fall, resulting in severe injuries, including cranioencephalic trauma and brain hemorrhaging. The bus driver promptly halted the vehicle and rendered assistance, while the bus company assumed responsibility for her medical expenses. They covered her medical costs for over a year but later ceased payments, claiming the case was resolved. Despite the bus company's initial aid, the aftermath of the accident left the student's family grappling with significant challenges. The family sought equitable compensation for the continuing effects of the incident on her life, contrasting with the bus company's desire to finalize the case with an indemnity agreement outside of court. The negotiation process proved intricate, marked by differing expectations and interests from both parties.

The bus company's attorney, with authorization to offer up to BRL 60,000.00 (\$10,000), initially proposed BRL 5,000.00 (\$833), while the student's attorney countered with a request for BRL 55,000.00 (\$9,166). This negotiation revealed the difficulties in achieving a mutually acceptable agreement, factoring in the bus company's liability, the severity of the student's injuries, and the contrasting expectations of both sides. As the negotiation unfolded, each party attempted to persuade the other to accept their respective proposals. Over time, both parties began to appreciate each other's viewpoints, making a

mutually beneficial agreement appear possible. The bus company's lawyer acknowledged the long-term ramifications of the accident on the student's life and the costs associated with prolonging the dispute. Conversely, the student's lawyer contemplated the advantages of securing a guaranteed settlement versus the unpredictability of litigation. Ultimately, the parties needed to identify a compromise to conclude the matter and allow the student to progress in her life.

3. Negotiation Planning

ZOPA, BATNA and Options provide a framework for both parties to negotiate and reach a mutually beneficial agreement, as follows:

Zone of Possible Agreement (ZOPA):

The ZOPA is the range of possible agreements that both parties find acceptable. In this case, the bus company's maximum authorized payment is BRL 60,000.00, and Joana's lawyer is seeking BRL 55,000.00 (\$ 9,166). Given the bus company's initial offer of BRL 5,000.00 (\$833); the ZOPA is between BRL 5,000.00 (\$833) and BRL 60,000.00 (\$10,000). Considering both parties' interests and expectations, a more realistic ZOPA might be between BRL 20,000.00 (\$333.33) and BRL 55,000.00 (\$ 9,166).

Best Alternative to a Negotiated Agreement or BATNA: *Bus Company's BATNA:* If no agreement is reached, the bus company might face a court battle, resulting in higher costs, including damages, legal fees, and potential reputational damage. The company's BATNA is to defend itself in court and pay a higher amount if the court rules in favor of Joana.

The student's BATNA: If no agreement is reached, Joana's family might need to pursue a court case to seek compensation, which could be time-consuming, costly, and uncertain. Joana's BATNA is to take the case to court and receive a higher or lower award.

Options:

Options for the Bus Company: (a) Propose a larger settlement amount (e.g., BRL 30,000.00 to BRL 50,000.00); (b) Suggest a structured settlement with payments distributed over time.

The student's options are: (a) Accept a settlement offer within the Zone of Possible Agreement (e.g., BRL 30,000.00 to BRL 55,000.00); (b) Negotiate for further compensation or benefits (e.g., reimbursement of future medical expenditures).

4. Discussion and Implications

The implications of this study can inform negotiation practices in personal injury cases (Type III negotiation), and highlight the importance of effective negotiation strategies, understanding ZOPA and BATNA, and maintaining open communication. The negotiation between the student's family and the bus company highlights the complexities of resolving personal injury cases. The parties' interests, needs, and limits played a significant role in shaping the negotiation dynamics. The bus company's initial offer of BRL 5,000.00 was significantly lower than Joana's lawyer's request of BRL 55,000.00, indicating a substantial expectation gap. However, through negotiation, the parties were able to explore options and potentially reach a mutually acceptable agreement. The case study demonstrates the importance of understanding the Zone of Possible Agreement (ZOPA) and Best Alternative to a Negotiated Agreement (BATNA) in negotiation. Parties can make informed decisions and negotiate more effectively by identifying the ZOPA and BATNA. The study also highlights the need for flexibility, creativity, and effective communication in negotiation. The case study suggests that parties should approach negotiation flexibly and openly, considering multiple options and creative solutions. Understanding ZOPA and BATNA can help make informed decisions.

The study also highlights the complexities of resolving personal injury cases and the potential benefits of negotiation as an alternative dispute resolution method. This case can help avoid costly and time-consuming litigation. Therefore, parties should be prepared to navigate these complexities in negotiation. There are other ramifications in alternative research contexts, such as (a) business negotiations (Dias, Waltz & Oliveira, 2021; Dias, 2020a; Dias, 2020b; Dias, 2020c; Dias, Duzert & Lopes, 2021); (b) retail business negotiations (Dias & Lopes, 2020; Dias & Lopes, 2021; Dias, 2021; Dias & Navarro, 2020; Dias, Lopes & Teles, 2020; Dias, Lopes & Duzert, 2020; Dias, Lopes, Cavalcanti & Golfetto, 2020; Dias & Silva, 2021; Dias, Netto, Oliveira et al., 2021; Dias, Andrade, Sotoriva, et al., 2021; Dias & Lopes, 2021; Sartori et al., 2020).

5. Lessons Learned

This case brings several lessons, as detailed:

- (a) *Preparation is essential*: Comprehending both sides' interests, requirements, and boundaries enables negotiators to formulate successful plans and tactics.
- (b) *Communication is essential*: Transparent and courteous dialogue fosters confidence and enables a mutually advantageous conclusion.
- (c) *Flexibility is essential*: Demonstrating adaptability and ingenuity in problem-solving may facilitate a mutually agreeable resolution.
- (d) *BATNA is essential*: Comprehending one's Best Alternative to a Negotiated Agreement (BATNA) enables negotiators to make educated judgments and engage in more productive negotiations.
- (e) *The significance of the Zone of Possible Agreement (ZOPA)*: Determining the ZOPA enables parties to concentrate on attainable results and circumvent impractical expectations.
- (f) *Distancing individuals from the issue*: Concentrating on the matter at hand, rather than resorting to personal assaults, may facilitate a productive negotiating atmosphere.
- (g) *Emphasizing interests rather than positions*: Comprehending the fundamental interests and requirements of both parties enables negotiators to identify innovative solutions that satisfy both sides' demands (Fisher, Ury & Patton, 1981).
- (h) *Negotiation is a reciprocal process*: Parties may need to concede to achieve a mutually advantageous agreement.

6. Conclusion

The negotiation case study between the student's family and the bus company highlights the complexities and challenges of resolving personal injury cases. Through effective negotiation, parties can reach a mutually acceptable agreement, avoiding costly and time-consuming litigation. The study demonstrates the importance of understanding the Zone of Possible Agreement (ZOPA) and the Best Alternative to a Negotiated Agreement (BATNA) and maintaining open and respectful communication. By adopting a flexible and creative approach to negotiation, parties can increase the likelihood of achieving a successful outcome. Ultimately, this case study provides valuable insights into the negotiation process and its potential benefits in resolving personal injury disputes.

7. Limitations and Future Research

This case has some limitations. Firstly, it focuses on a single case study in Brazil, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to other cases or contexts. The Brazilian Civil Code and its specific provisions may also impact the applicability of the findings to other jurisdictions. Additionally, the study's interpretive approach may be subjective and influenced by the researcher's perspectives or biases. The outcome may be influenced by specific circumstances of the case, such as the severity of the injuries and the parties'

negotiation strategies, abiding by the Brazilian Civil Code (Brasil, 2002). Furthermore, changes in legislation or interpretation of the Brazilian Civil Code may impact the outcome of similar cases. Future research could consider longitudinal analysis, alternative dispute resolution methods, and comparative studies across different jurisdictions to provide a more comprehensive understanding of negotiation in personal injury cases.

References

- Bryman, A., & Bell, E. (2015). *Business research methods*. Oxford University Press.
- Bazerman, M. H., & Moore, D. A. (1994). *Judgment in managerial decision making*. Wiley.
- Cunha, N.C., Dias, M. (2021) Contract Negotiation: When the Detail Saved the Day. *GSJ* 9(12), 130-141; doi:11216/gsj.2021.12.56418
- Dias, M (2021) Is the Covid-19 Pandemic Promoting More Empathetic Internal Business Negotiations? *International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management Studies*, 3(2), 51-64. [https://doi.org/ 10.6084/m9.figshare.14346521](https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14346521)
- Dias, M, Leitão, R., Batista, R., Medeiros, D. (2022) Writing the Deal: Statistical Analysis of Brazilian Business Negotiations on Intangible Assets. *European Journal of Business and Management Research*, 7(1), 61-65; [https://doi.org/ 10.24018/ejbmr.2022.7.1.1233](https://doi.org/10.24018/ejbmr.2022.7.1.1233)
- Dias, M. (2020) The Four-Type Negotiation Matrix: A Model for Assessing Negotiation Processes. *British Journal of Education*, 8(5), 40-57. [https://doi.org/ 10.37745/bje/vol8.no5.p40-57.2020](https://doi.org/10.37745/bje/vol8.no5.p40-57.2020)
- Dias, M. (2020a) Is There Any Difference Between Night and Day Business Negotiations? A Statistical Analysis. *Journal of Xidian University*, 14(6), 2417 - 2430. [https://doi.org/ 10.37896/jxu14.6/287](https://doi.org/10.37896/jxu14.6/287)
- Dias, M. (2020b) Predictive Model on Intangible Assets Negotiation: Linear Regression Analysis. *Journal of Xidian University*, 14(7), 1420-1433. [https://doi.org/ 10.37896/jxu14.7/161](https://doi.org/10.37896/jxu14.7/161)
- Dias, M. (2020c) Structured versus Situational Business Negotiation Approaches. *Journal of Xidian University*, 14(6), 1591 - 1604. [https://doi.org/ 10.37896/jxu14.6/192](https://doi.org/10.37896/jxu14.6/192)
- Dias, M. (2020d) The Effectiveness of Mediation in Brazilian Business Negotiations. *European Modern Studies Journal*, 4(5), 181-188. [https://doi.org/ 10.6084/m9.figshare.13066025](https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.13066025)
- Dias, M. Navarro, R. (2020). Three-Strategy Level Negotiation Model and Four-Type Negotiation Matrix Applied to Brazilian Government Negotiation Cases. *British Journal of Management and Marketing Studies*, 3(3), 50-66. [https://doi.org/ 10.6084/m9.figshare.12479861](https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12479861)
- Dias, M., (2023) Teaching Materials on Warehouse Construction Negotiation. *International Journal of Business Management*, 6(9), 89-102, [https://doi.org. 10.5281/zenodo.8396647](https://doi.org.10.5281/zenodo.8396647)
- Dias, M., (2023a) Teaching Materials on Paint Shop Business Negotiation. *International Journal of Applied Management Science*, 4(9), 1-13, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8396627>
- Dias, M., (2023b) Teaching Materials on Private Healthcare Negotiation. *International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research*, 6(9), 105-117, [https://doi.org. 10.5281/zenodo.8396612](https://doi.org.10.5281/zenodo.8396612)
- Dias, M., (2023c). Teaching Materials on Security Technician Business Negotiation. *International Journal Of Educational Research*, 6(8), 12-27; [https://doi.org. 10.5281/zenodo.8367744](https://doi.org.10.5281/zenodo.8367744)
- Dias, M., (2023d). Role-Play Simulation on Locksmith Business Negotiation. *GPH-International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research*, 6(8), 44-56; [https://doi.org.1 10.5281/zenodo.8359959](https://doi.org.10.5281/zenodo.8359959)
- Dias, M., Lافraia, J., Schmitz, T. & Vieira, P. (2023). Systematic Literature Review on Negotiation & Conflict Management. *European Journal of Theoretical and Applied*
- Dias, M., Lopes, R. (2020) Do Social Stereotypes Interfere in Business Negotiations? *British Journal of Marketing Studies*, 8(4), 16-26. [https://doi.org/ 10.6084/m9.figshare.12501293.v1](https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12501293.v1)

- Dias, M., Lopes, R., Cavalcanti, G., Golfetto, V. (2020) Role-Play Simulation on Software Contract Negotiation. *Global Scientific Journals*, 8(6), 1-10. [https://doi.org/ 10.11216/gsj.2020.06.40176](https://doi.org/10.11216/gsj.2020.06.40176)
- Dias, M., Lopes, R., Duzert, Y. (2020) Mapping the Game: Situational versus Structured Negotiations. *Saudi Journal of Economics and Finance*, 4(6): 271-275. [https://doi.org/ 10.36348/sjef.2020.v04i06.012](https://doi.org/10.36348/sjef.2020.v04i06.012)
- Dias, M., Lopes, R., Teles, A., Castro, A., Pereira, A. (2020) Teaching Materials on Extrajudicial Settlement Negotiation. *Global Scientific Journals*, 8(5), 1529-1539. [https://doi.org/ 10.11216/gsj.2020.05.39996](https://doi.org/10.11216/gsj.2020.05.39996)
- Dias, M., Nascimento, C.; Lima, M.; Santos, A.; Duarte, M.; Rocha, M.; Martins, M.; Mendes, F.; Filho, R.; Marques, L.; Filho, C.C. (2021) Role-Play Simulation on Contract Bidding Negotiation. *GSJ*, 9(9), 486-499. [https://doi.org/ 10.11216/gsj.2021.09.54036](https://doi.org/10.11216/gsj.2021.09.54036)
- Dias, M., Pereira, L., Teles, A., Lafraia, J. (2023) Show Me Your Hands: A Moderator Effect Analysis on Nonverbal Behavior at the Bargaining Table. *EJTAS*, 1(2), 119-127 [https://doi.org/10.59324/ejtas.2023.1\(2\).12](https://doi.org/10.59324/ejtas.2023.1(2).12)
- Dias, M., Pereira, L., Vieira, P., Barbosa, L., Quintão, H., Lafraia, J. (2023) Mediation & Dispute Board Resolution: A Systematic Literature Review. *GPH-International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research*, 6(5), [https://doi.org/ 10.5281/zenodo.7952719](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7952719)
- Dias, M., Toledo, R., Silva, A., Santos, M., Aragão, M., Junior, M., Rocha, C., Silva, G., Marques Filho, C. (2022) Buyer-Seller Negotiation: Military Cargo Jet Acquisition. *GSJ*, 10(10), 2481-90. <https://doi.org/10.11216/gsj.2022.10.78649>
- Dias, M.; Almeida, F.; Silva; Russo, J.; Machado, V.; Costa, J.; Barbosa, M.; Jornada, F.; Filho, C. (2022) Role-Play Simulation on Vehicle Acquisition: Buyer-Seller Negotiation. *GSJ* (10)8, 1817-28; [https://doi.org/ 10.11216/gsj.2022.08.77291](https://doi.org/10.11216/gsj.2022.08.77291)
- Dias, M.; Andrade, S.; Silva, M. R.; Teles, G.; Mello, B.; Moura, R.; Salazar, A.; Sotoriva, L.M.; Mariotti, A; Filho, C. (2021) Role-play Simulation on Buyer-Seller Knowledge Transfer. *GSJ*, 9(8), 2340-52. [https://doi.org/ 10.11216/gsj.2021.08.53672](https://doi.org/10.11216/gsj.2021.08.53672)
- Dias, M.; Duzert, Y.; Lopes, R. (2021) Perspectiva Epistêmica do Processo de Negociação. *International Journal of Development Research*, 11(7), 48803-10. [https://doi.org/ 10.37118/ijdr.22463.07.2021](https://doi.org/10.37118/ijdr.22463.07.2021)
- Dias, M.; Lopes, R. (2021). A Confiança transformativa em negociações. *International Journal of Development Research*, 11(6), pp. 48178-82. [https://doi.org/ 10.37118/ijdr.22261.06.2021](https://doi.org/10.37118/ijdr.22261.06.2021)
- Dias, M.; Lopes, R. (2021). O dilema da confiança aplicado à negociação de escopo em gerenciamentos projetos. *International Journal of Development Research*, 11(8), pp. 49225-30. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.37118/ijdr.22676.08.2021>
- Dias, M.; Lopes, R.; Teles, A. (2020) Nonparametric Analysis on Structured Brazilian Business Negotiations. *Global Scientific Journal* 8(6), 1511-22. [https://doi.org/ 10.13140/RG.2.2.13318.60482](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.13318.60482)
- Dias, M.; Netto, P.C; Oliveira, F.; Melo, L.; Cavalcanti, S.; Marques, A.; Silveira, F.M., Bastos, E.H.; Pitangueira, A.L; Vaz, H.; Filho, C.C. (2021) Role-Play Simulation on Land Invasion Negotiation. *GSJ*, 9(8), 2916-29. [https://doi.org/ 10.11216/gsj.2021.08.53806](https://doi.org/10.11216/gsj.2021.08.53806)
- Dias, M.; Silva, L. (2021) Role-Play Simulation on Basic Sanitation Services Contract Negotiation. *Global Scientific Journal*, 9(6), 1081-1098. [https://doi.org/ 10.11216/gsj.2021.06.51827](https://doi.org/10.11216/gsj.2021.06.51827)
- Dias, M.; Pires, R.; Genial, R.; Santos, P.; Araújo, L.; Moura, F.; Lima, S.; Nascimento, F. Marques Filho, C. (2022) Case Study on Buyer-Seller Negotiation: Ultrabook Government Acquisition. *GSJ* (10)9, 1737-45; <https://doi.org/10.11216/gsj.2022.09.77913>

- Dias, M.; Waltz, F.; Oliveira, B.Y. (2021) Teaching Materials on Brazilian Private Companies: Software Contract Negotiation. *Global Scientific Journals*, 9(1), 2499-2508. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.10976.61448>
- Fisher, R. and Ury, W., (1981). *Getting to Yes: Negotiating Agreement Without Giving In*. Penguin Books
- Kissinger, H.A., 1969. *Nuclear Weapons and Foreign Policy*. W.W. Norton.
- Lax, D.A., & Sebenius, J.K. (1986). *The Manager as Negotiator: Bargaining for Cooperation and Competitive Gain*.
- Navarro, R., Dias, M. (2024) Nonmarket Negotiations:Leveraging Performance when Negotiating with Governments, Influencers, Media, NGOs, Communities and other Key Stakeholders.*BJMAS*, 5(2), 90-113.DOI: 10.37745/bjmas.2022.0460
- Salacuse, J. (2003). *The Global Negotiator*. New York: Palgrave, Macmillan.
- Salacuse, J. (2006). *Leading Leaders: how to Manage Smart, Talented, Rich and Powerful People*. NY: AMACOM.
- Santos, M.; Dias, M. (2024). Best Practices for Building Trust in Virtual Business Negotiations,*British Journal of Multidisciplinary and Advanced Studies*, 5(2),45-66; <https://doi.org/10.37745/bjmas.2022.0450>
- Sartori, S.; Jantsch, M. Dias, M. Navarro, R. (2020) Negotiating with Indigenous Peoples: Land Area Acquisition for the Fulkaxó Reserve in Brazil. *Saudi Journal of Economics and Finance*, 4(9), 457-461.<https://doi.org/10.36348/sjef.2020.v04i09.006>
- Saunders, M.; Lewis, P.; Thornhill, A. (2009). *Research Methods for Business Students*. Prentice Hall, 5th edition.
- Schatzki, M.; Coffey; W. (1981). *Negotiation: The Art of Getting What You Want*. Signet
- Shell, Richard (2006). *Bargaining for Advantage*. Penguin Books.
- Yin, R. K. (2004). *The case study anthology*. Sage.