



Negotiation and Conflict Management in Brazilian Labor Law: Dispute over a Fatal Work Accident

Manoela Martins Santos
Fundação Getulio Vargas, Brazil.

Murillo de Oliveira Dias*
Fundação Getulio Vargas, Brazil.

*Correspondence: DR. MURILLO DIAS. E-mail: @agenda.murillo@gmail.com

Abstract

This article addresses the negotiation process in a workplace accident case involving the mysterious death of an employee at a marble factory the negotiation aimed to settle for the company and the deceased employee's family the attorney representing the company employed strategic negotiation tactics to settle for R\$ 500,000 (90,000). The case highlights the significance of effective communication, flexibility, and a deep understanding of the client's underlying interests to thrive. This study demonstrates the effectiveness of negotiation in resolving complex conflicts and achieving mutually beneficial agreements.

Keywords:

Labor Law; Type III negotiation; Brazil.

How to cite: Santos, M., & Dias, M. (2025). Negotiation and Conflict Management in Brazilian Labor Law: Dispute Over a Fatal Work Accident. *GPH-International Journal of Applied Management Science*, 5(05), 01-11. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15595871>

1. INTRODUCTION

The mysterious workplace accident at Marmoraria Rangel presented a complex negotiation challenge, requiring a deep understanding of the parties' interests and needs (Fisher, Ury, & Patton, 1981). The significant disparity between the parties' expectations demanded a strategic approach to bridge the gap and reach a mutually beneficial agreement (Lax & Sebenius, 1986). Effective negotiation, in this case, necessitated a nuanced understanding of the client's goals and the opposing party's underlying interests (Sebenius, 2013). This case study explores the negotiation dynamics and strategies employed to navigate this challenging



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

dispute. The case introduces a Type III negotiation, including multiple parties and a single object, according to Dias (2020). The case took place at Cachoeiras de Macacu, Rio de Janeiro State, southeastern Brazil. In the case of a workplace accident at the marble factory, omitting real names and company identities is crucial to protect the privacy and confidentiality of the individuals and organizations involved. By keeping the identities anonymous, the focus can shift from the specific company, *Marmoraria Ltd* (fictitious name), and the individuals involved, such as the deceased employee and the factory owner, to the circumstances and facts surrounding the incident. This approach helps prevent potential reputational damage to the company and individuals involved while also allowing for a more objective analysis and discussion of the case. Furthermore, anonymizing the case ensures compliance with regulations and laws related to data protection and confidentiality and reflects ethical considerations in protecting the rights and interests of those involved. By focusing on the facts and circumstances of the incident rather than the specific parties involved, a more nuanced understanding of the case can be achieved, allowing negotiation and investigation to proceed fairly and impartially.

Negotiation is a multifaceted process in which two or more parties engage in communication to advance their interests or those of their constituents through mutually agreeable future actions (Salacuse, 2003, p. 11). This concept has garnered significant attention from scholars over the past five decades, with research spanning various disciplines and applications (Dias, 2023; Fisher, Ury & Patton, 1981; Lax & Sebenius, 1986; Salacuse, 2006). The field of negotiation encompasses a broad range of topics, including business negotiations (Dias, 2023; Santos & Dias, 2024), non-government negotiations (Navarro & Dias, 2024), conflict resolution and mediation (Zartman, 1988), and contract negotiations (Cunha & Dias, 2021). Negotiation can be viewed as a social interaction process (Schatzki & Coffey, 1981), a decision-making process (Bazerman & Moore, 1994), or a complex communication process (Acuff, 1993; Salacuse, 2003). To better understand the nuances of negotiation, researchers have developed frameworks such as the Four Type Negotiation Matrix (Dias, 2020). This matrix will be applied to the case at hand to categorize the negotiation type, as illustrated in Figure 1.



Figure 1 The Four-Type Negotiation Matrix
Source: Dias, 2020. Reprinted under permission.

2. METHODOLOGY

Using a qualitative research method, this case study examined the negotiating process in a complicated workplace accident conflict. Using an interpretive philosophy and Saunders et al. (2009) inductive approach, we were able to thoroughly investigate the negotiation dynamics and tactics used by the parties engaged. Inspired by Yin's (2004) case study approach, we examined the negotiating process using a thorough study of the interactions the company and the family of the departed employee, including the lawyer. This strategy helped us to acquire a thorough awareness of the background, complexity, and subtleties of the negotiation. The study design consisted of a single case study, with the negotiating process itself serving as the unit of analysis.

Data collecting is grounded on a retroactive study of the negotiating events, including documentation and contemplation of the negotiating process. Using the inductive method, we were able to spot trends, themes, and insights arising from the data, so augmenting our knowledge of the dynamics and techniques of negotiation used. Acknowledging the subjective character of the negotiating process, the interpretive philosophy guiding this study helped us to place the results within the particular context of the case. Using this approach, we sought to offer a thorough and sophisticated knowledge of the negotiating process in this complicated workplace accident dispute by highlighting the dynamics and strategies that helped to produce the result.

3. NEGOTIATION SCENARIO: A MYSTERIOUS ACCIDENT

A marble factory is currently facing a lawsuit following a workplace accident that resulted in the death of an employee in July 2024. The case is scheduled to be heard in labor court on November 14, 2024. The circumstances surrounding the employee's death are unusual, as the individual was found deceased in a settling tank used for cleaning marble slabs. The tank has a diameter of 45 cm and a height of 1.16 meters, making the circumstances of the death particularly puzzling. A meeting has been scheduled to prepare the factory's defense in anticipation of the upcoming hearing.

The workplace accident occurred at a marble factory, *Marmoraria Ltd*, where an employee was found dead in a settling tank. The employee had worked a shift and requested overtime but sent a message to his supervisor indicating he was leaving and would turn off the machinery. There were no security cameras on site to capture the incident. The autopsy report revealed that the employee died from drowning, with no signs of injury. The circumstances surrounding the death are unusual, given the tank's small diameter and the employee's height. The case led to a lawsuit and subsequent negotiation.

4. INCIDENT AND INVESTIGATION

A workplace accident occurred at Marmoraria Rangel, a marble factory, where an employee named Thiago was found dead in a settling tank. The investigation into the incident revealed that Thiago had worked a shift from 7:30 am to 5:30 pm but had requested to work overtime until 7:30 pm. He sent a message to his supervisor at 7:42 pm informing them that he was

leaving and would turn off the machinery. The factory did not have any security cameras on site, which made it difficult to determine the circumstances surrounding Thiago's death. The autopsy report showed that Thiago died from drowning, with no signs of injury or trauma.

5. LAWSUIT AND NEGOTIATION

The deceased employee's family filed a lawsuit against Marmoraria Rangel, seeking R\$ 3,960,000 (\$707,000) in damages. The company's lawyer was tasked with negotiating a settlement and applied the Zone of Possible Agreement (ZOPA) framework to determine a fair amount. The lawyer established a limit of R\$300,000 (\$50,000), while the clients were willing to pay up to R\$500,000 (\$89,300). The lawyer considered similar cases and took into account that Thiago had no children and his mother was the sole beneficiary of his estate. The lawyer also noted that the mother received a pension from Thiago's father, which might affect the damages claim.

4. NEGOTIATION PROCESS

The lawyer met with the opposing party's representative to negotiate a settlement. The lawyer offered R\$ 18,182, which was rejected as being too low. The opposing party counter offered R\$ 1,600,000 (approximately \$290,909), which the lawyer deemed excessive. The lawyer made a final offer of R\$ 150,000 (approximately \$27,273), which was still rejected. The negotiations were tense, with the opposing party's representative seemingly motivated by the potential for a large payout. The lawyer noted that the opposing party's representative seemed to be influenced by the fact that the company owners were perceived as wealthy, which might have affected their expectations.

5. CLOSING THE DEAL

After further negotiations, the parties agreed on a settlement amount of R\$ 500,000 (approximately \$90,909), paid in installments. The lawyer proposed using part of his fees to contribute to the settlement, which helped to facilitate the agreement. The company's owners were willing to settle, and the lawyer was able to negotiate a mutually acceptable amount. The settlement was seen as a successful outcome, with all parties achieving their goals. The lawyer reflected on the case, concluding that the client's interests should prevail over personal curiosity about the cause of the accident. The lawyer noted that the settlement of R\$ 500,000 (approximately \$90,909) was a fair outcome, given the circumstances of the case. The lawyer earned 15% of the total amount he would have charged for the entire case, and the clients were satisfied with the outcome. The lawyer was proud of the work he had done and felt that he had achieved a successful outcome for his clients.

6. NEGOTIATION ANALYSIS

The negotiation between the company and the employee's family exemplifies the principles of interest-based negotiation, as outlined by Fisher and Ury (1981). The parties focus on finding a mutually beneficial solution, rather than simply haggling over positions, allowed them to explore creative options and ultimately reach a settlement. The use of objective

criteria, such as the value of similar settlements, helped to anchor the negotiation and inform the parties' decisions. Furthermore, the lawyer's understanding of the company's best alternative to a negotiated agreement (BATNA) and the family's interests enabled them to navigate the Zone of Possible Agreement (ZOPA) effectively, ultimately securing a settlement that met the needs of both parties. This negotiation demonstrates the importance of preparation, creativity, and effective communication in achieving successful outcomes, as emphasized by Lax and Sebenius (1986), detailed as follows:

Best Alternative to a Negotiated Agreement (BATNA). The Best Alternative to a Negotiated Agreement (BATNA) for the company would be to go to court and potentially pay less or nothing. This option would allow the company to avoid setting a precedent for future cases and potentially reduce their financial liability. On the other hand, the BATNA for the employee's family would be to go to court and potentially receive more than the settlement amount. This option would allow them to hold the company accountable for the accident and potentially receive a larger payout.

Options: The settlement options considered during the negotiation included various amounts, such as R\$ 150,000 (approximately \$27,273), R\$ 300,000 (approximately \$54,545), R\$ 500,000 (approximately \$90,909), and R\$ 1,600,000 (approximately \$290,909). The payment structure was also a consideration, with options for a lump sum or installments. These options provided a range of possibilities for the parties to consider during the negotiation.

ZOPA: The Zone of Possible Agreement (ZOPA) was the range of settlement amounts that both parties considered acceptable. The lawyer's initial limit was R\$ 54,545, while the company's maximum willingness to pay was R\$ 500,000 (approximately \$90,909). The ZOPA would be between these two amounts, where both parties could potentially find a mutually acceptable agreement. This range provided a basis for the negotiation, and the parties were able to work within this zone to reach a settlement of R\$ 500,000 (approximately \$90,909).

Interests: The Company's interests in the negotiation were to minimize their financial liability, avoid negative publicity, and resolve the case quickly. They wanted to protect their reputation and avoid setting a precedent for future cases. On the other hand, the employee's family's interests were to receive fair compensation for the loss of their loved one and hold the company accountable for the accident. They wanted to ensure that justice was served and that the company took responsibility for their actions.

9. IMPLICATIONS AND DISCUSSION

The case of a dispute over a fatal work accident in Brazil highlights the importance of compliance with Brazilian labor laws, particularly the Consolidation of Labor Laws (CLT) and Law No. 8,213/1991 (Brazil, 1991). According to Law No. 8,213/1991, employers are responsible for providing a safe working environment and reporting work-related accidents to the relevant authorities (Brasil, 1991). Failure to comply with these regulations can result in liability for damages and compensation to the victim's family.

The case also underscores the significance of implementing effective safety measures to prevent work-related accidents, as required by the Normas Regulamentadoras (NRs) of the Ministry of Labor and Employment (Ministério do Trabalho e Emprego, n.d.). For instance, NR-18 establishes guidelines for preventive safety and control measures in the construction industry (Ministério do Trabalho e Emprego, n.d.). Employers who fail to implement these measures may be held liable for damages and compensation.

Furthermore, the case highlights the role of the Brazilian Social Security Institute (INSS) in providing benefits to victims of work-related accidents and their families (Instituto Nacional do Seguro Social, n.d.). According to Law No. 8,213/1991, the INSS is responsible for paying benefits, such as death benefits and pensions, to the dependents of workers who die as a result of work-related accidents (Brasil, 1991).

The implications of this case study extend beyond labor law and workplace safety to broader areas of negotiation and conflict management. Specifically, the findings have relevance to Type II negotiations, which involve complex, multi-party, and multi-issue negotiations (Dias, 2020; Dias, 2016). The case highlights the importance of effective communication, creative problem-solving, and strategic planning in achieving successful outcomes in such negotiations (Dias, Waltz & Oliveira, 2021). Moreover, the case has implications for family business negotiations, where family dynamics and relationships can complicate negotiation processes (Dias, 2021; Dias & Lopes, 2020). The study underscores the need for family business owners and managers to develop effective negotiation strategies that balance family and business interests (Dias & Lopes, 2021).

When it comes to Type III negotiations think multi-party, one-issue deals strategic planning, effective communication, and creative problem-solving are key (Dias, 2020; Dias, 2016; Dias, Waltz, & Oliveira, 2021). However, it is not just about having a solid plan; being adaptable and flexible is vital in these complex negotiations (Dias, 2020a, 2020b, 2020c; Dias, Duzert, & Lopes, 2021). In family businesses, negotiations can be even more delicate. Family dynamics can significantly impact the negotiation process, making it essential to build trust, communicate effectively, and manage conflicts (Dias, 2021; Dias & Lopes, 2020, 2021). Family business owners and managers must navigate a fine line between family and business interests, and considering the long-term implications of negotiation outcomes is crucial (Dias & Navarro, 2020; Dias, Lopes, & Duzert, 2020; Dias, Lopes, & Teles, 2020; Sartori et al., 2020). One effective way to develop negotiation skills is through role-play simulations. These simulations allow participants to practice active listening, empathy, and creative problem-solving in a safe environment (Dias, Lopes, Cavalcanti & Golfetto, 2020; Dias & Silva, 2021). They are instrumental in complex multi-party negotiations, where navigating multiple interests and priorities is essential (Dias, Netto, Oliveira, et al., 2021; Dias, Andrade, Sotoriva, et al., 2021). By combining role-play simulations with other training methods, participants can gain a deeper understanding of negotiation dynamics and improve their chances of success (Dias & Lopes, 2021).

10. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the case highlights the importance of employers prioritizing workplace safety and complying with Brazilian labor laws to prevent work-related accidents and minimize liability. By implementing adequate safety measures and complying with regulations, employers can reduce the risk of work-related accidents and ensure a safer working environment for their employees. This case study demonstrates the effectiveness of principled negotiation in resolving complex labor disputes, particularly in the context of Brazilian labor law. By focusing on the underlying interests of the parties involved, the company's lawyer was able to negotiate a mutually beneficial agreement that met the needs of both the company and the deceased employee's family (Fisher, Ury & Patton, 1981). The use of the Zone of Possible Agreement (ZOPA) framework allowed the lawyer to identify creative solutions that might not have been possible through traditional adversarial approaches (Lax & Sebenius, 1986). Ultimately, this study highlights the importance of effective communication, flexibility, and strategic planning in negotiation.

11. LIMITATIONS

The study's reliance on a single case study may limit the generalizability of the results to other labor disputes in Brazil or elsewhere (Yin, 2004). Additionally, the study's focus on a specific industry (marble production) and type of dispute (fatal work accident) may not be representative of other industries or types of disputes. Furthermore, the Brazilian legal labor framework, which emphasizes the protection of workers' rights and the role of the Labor Court in resolving disputes (Consolidação das Leis do Trabalho, CLT), may not be directly applicable to other countries with different labor laws and regulations. Moreover, the study's qualitative approach may not provide the same level of statistical generalizability as a quantitative study (Creswell, 2014). The complexity of the Brazilian labor law system, including the interplay between the CLT, the Federal Constitution, and other regulations, may also limit the study's ability to capture all the nuances of the negotiation process (Barros, 2019). Finally, the study's reliance on a single negotiation strategy (principled negotiation) may not account for other approaches that may be more effective in specific contexts.

12. FUTURE RESEARCH

Future research could build upon this study by exploring the application of principled negotiation in other industries or contexts within Brazil, such as environmental disputes or international business negotiations. A comparative study of different negotiation approaches, such as adversarial versus principled negotiation, could provide further insights into the most effective strategies for resolving complex disputes in the Brazilian labor law context (Shell, 2006). Additionally, researchers could investigate the role of cultural and social factors in shaping negotiation outcomes in Brazil, taking into account the country's diverse regional and socioeconomic contexts. Moreover, future studies could examine the impact of recent labor law reforms in Brazil, such as the 2017 labor reform (Lei nº 13.467/2017), on negotiation practices and outcomes in the country. This could involve analyzing the effects of the reform on the balance of power between employers and employees, as well as the resulting implications for negotiation strategies and tactics. By exploring these topics, researchers can

contribute to a deeper understanding of the complexities of negotiation in the Brazilian labor law context and identify best practices for resolving disputes in this setting.

REFERENCES

- Barros, A. M. (2019). *Brazilian Labor Law*. Editora FGV.
- Bazerman, M. H., & Moore, D. A. (1994). *Judgment in managerial decision making*. Wiley.
- Brasil. (1991). *Lei nº 8.213, de 24 de julho de 1991. Dispõe sobre os Planos de Benefícios da Previdência Social e dá outras providências*. Diário Oficial da União.
- Consolidação das Leis do Trabalho (CLT). *Decreto-Lei nº 5.452, de 1 de maio de 1943*.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*. Sage publications.
- Cunha, N.C., Dias, M. (2021) Contract Negotiation: When the Detail Saved the Day. *GSJ* 9(12), 130-141; <https://doi.org/10.11216/gsj.2021.12.56418>
- Dess, G. G. & Lumpkin, G., 2003. *Dirección estratégica*. 1a. ed. McGrawHill.
- Dias, M (2021) Is the Covid-19 Pandemic Promoting More Empathetic Internal Business Negotiations? *International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management Studies*, 3(2), 51-64. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14346521>
- Dias, M, Leitão, R., Batista, R., Medeiros, D. (2022) Writing the Deal: Statistical Analysis of Brazilian Business Negotiations on Intangible Assets. *European Journal of Business and Management Research*, 7(1), 61-65; <https://doi.org/10.24018/ejbmr.2022.7.1.1233>
- Dias, M. (2020) The Four-Type Negotiation Matrix: A Model for Assessing Negotiation Processes. *British Journal of Education*, 8(5), 40-57. <https://doi.org/10.37745/bje/vol8.no5.p40-57.2020>
- Dias, M. (2020a) Is There Any Difference Between Night and Day Business Negotiations? A Statistical Analysis. *Journal of Xidian University*, 14(6), 2417 - 2430. <https://doi.org/10.37896/jxu14.6/287>
- Dias, M. (2020b) Predictive Model on Intangible Assets Negotiation: Linear Regression Analysis. *Journal of Xidian University*, 14(7), 1420-1433. <https://doi.org/10.37896/jxu14.7/161>
- Dias, M. (2020c) Structured versus Situational Business Negotiation Approaches. *Journal of Xidian University*, 14(6), 1591 - 1604. <https://doi.org/10.37896/jxu14.6/192>
- Dias, M. (2020d) The Effectiveness of Mediation in Brazilian Business Negotiations. *European Modern Studies Journal*, 4(5), 181-188. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.13066025>
- Dias, M. Navarro, R. (2020). Three-Strategy Level Negotiation Model and Four-Type Negotiation Matrix Applied to Brazilian Government Negotiation Cases. *British Journal of Management and Marketing Studies*, 3(3), 50-66. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12479861>
- Dias, M., (2023) Teaching Materials on Warehouse Construction Negotiation. *International Journal of Business Management*, 6(9), 89-102, <https://doi.org.10.5281/zenodo.8396647>

- Dias, M., (2023a) Teaching Materials on Paint Shop Business Negotiation. *International Journal of Applied Management Science*, 4(9), 1-13, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8396627>
- Dias, M., (2023b) Teaching Materials on Private Healthcare Negotiation. *International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research*, 6(9), 105-117, <https://doi.org.10.5281/zenodo.8396612>
- Dias, M., (2023c). Teaching Materials on Security Technician Business Negotiation. *International Journal Of Educational Research*, 6(8), 12-27; <https://doi.org.10.5281/zenodo.8367744>
- Dias, M., (2023d). Role-Play Simulation on Locksmith Business Negotiation. *GPH-International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research*, 6(8), 44-56; <https://doi.org.10.5281/zenodo.8359959>
- Dias, M., Lafraia, J., Schmitz, T. & Vieira, P. (2023). Systematic Literature Review on Negotiation & Conflict Management. *European Journal of Theoretical and Applied*
- Dias, M., Lopes, R. (2020) Do Social Stereotypes Interfere in Business Negotiations? *British Journal of Marketing Studies*, 8(4), 16-26. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12501293.v1>
- Dias, M., Lopes, R., Cavalcanti, G., Golfetto, V. (2020) Role-Play Simulation on Software Contract Negotiation. *Global Scientific Journals*, 8(6), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.11216/gsj.2020.06.40176>
- Dias, M., Lopes, R., Duzert, Y. (2020) Mapping the Game: Situational versus Structured Negotiations. *Saudi Journal of Economics and Finance*, 4(6): 271-275. <https://doi.org/10.36348/sjef.2020.v04i06.012>
- Dias, M., Lopes, R., Teles, A., Castro, A., Pereira, A. (2020) Teaching Materials on Extrajudicial Settlement Negotiation. *Global Scientific Journals*, 8(5), 1529-1539. <https://doi.org/10.11216/gsj.2020.05.39996>
- Dias, M., Nascimento, C.; Lima, M.; Santos, A.; Duarte, M.; Rocha, M.; Martins, M.; Mendes, F.; Filho, R.; Marques, L.; Filho, C.C. (2021) Role-Play Simulation on Contract Bidding Negotiation. *GSI*, 9(9), 486-499. <https://doi.org/10.11216/gsj.2021.09.54036>
- Dias, M., Pereira, L., Teles, A. Lafraia, J. (2023) Show Me Your Hands: A Moderator Effect Analysis on Nonverbal Behavior at the Bargaining Table. *EJTAS*, 1(2), 119-127 [https://doi.org/10.59324/ejtas.2023.1\(2\).12](https://doi.org/10.59324/ejtas.2023.1(2).12)
- Dias, M., Pereira, L., Vieira, P., Barbosa, L., Quintão, H., Lafraia, J. (2023) Mediation & Dispute Board Resolution: A Systematic Literature Review. *GPH-International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research*, 6(5), <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7952719>
- Dias, M., Toledo, R., Silva, A., Santos, M., Aragão, M., Junior, M., Rocha, C., Silva, G., Marques Filho, C. (2022) Buyer-Seller Negotiation: Military Cargo Jet Acquisition. *GSI*, 10(10), 2481-90. <https://doi.org/10.11216/gsj.2022.10.78649>
- Dias, M.; Almeida, F.; Silva; Russo, J.; Machado, V.; Costa, J.; Barbosa, M.; Jornada, F.; Filho, C. (2022) Role-Play Simulation on Vehicle Acquisition: Buyer-Seller Negotiation. *GSI* (10)8, 1817-28; <https://doi.org/10.11216/gsj.2022.08.77291>

- Santos, M., & Dias, M. (2025). Negotiation and Conflict Management in Brazilian Labor Law: Dispute Over a Fatal Work Accident. *GPH-International Journal of Applied Management Science*, 5(05), 01-11. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15595871>
- Dias, M.; Andrade, S.; Silva, M. R.; Teles, G.; Mello, B.; Moura, R.; Salazar, A.; Sotoriva, L.M.; Mariotti, A; Filho, C. (2021) Role-play Simulation on Buyer-Seller Knowledge Transfer. *GSJ*, 9(8), 2340-52. <https://doi.org/10.11216/gsj.2021.08.53672>
- Dias, M.; Duzert, Y.; Lopes, R. (2021) Perspectiva Epistêmica do Processo de Negociação. *International Journal of Development Research*, 11(7), 48803-10. <https://doi.org/10.37118/ijdr.22463.07.2021>
- Dias, M.; Lopes, R. (2021). A Confiança transformativa em negociações. *International Journal of Development Research*, 11(6), pp. 48178-82. <https://doi.org/10.37118/ijdr.22261.06.2021>
- Dias, M.; Lopes, R. (2021). O dilema da confiança aplicado à negociação de escopo em gerenciamentos projetos. *International Journal of Development Research*, 11(8), pp. 49225-30. <https://doi.org/10.37118/ijdr.22676.08.2021>
- Dias, M.; Lopes, R.; Teles, A. (2020) Nonparametric Analysis on Structured Brazilian Business Negotiations. *Global Scientific Journal* 8(6), 1511-22. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.13318.60482>
- Dias, M.; Netto, P.C; Oliveira, F.; Melo, L.; Cavalcanti, S.; Marques, A.; Silveira, F.M., Bastos, E.H.; Pitangueira, A.L; Vaz, H.; Filho, C.C.(2021) Role-Play Simulation on Land Invasion Negotiation. *GSJ*, 9(8), 2916-29. <https://doi.org/10.11216/gsj.2021.08.53806>
- Dias, M.; Silva, L. (2021) Role-Play Simulation on Basic Sanitation Services Contract Negotiation. *Global Scientific Journal*, 9(6), 1081-1098. <https://doi.org/10.11216/gsj.2021.06.51827>
- Dias, M.; Pires, R.; Genial, R.; Santos, P.; Araújo, L.; Moura, F.; Lima, S. Nascimento, F. Marques Filho, C. (2022) Case Study on Buyer-Seller Negotiation: Ultrabook Government Acquisition. *GSJ* (10)9, 1737-45; <https://doi.org/10.11216/gsj.2022.09.77913>
- Dias, Murillo; Waltz, Flavio; Oliveira, Barbara. Y. (2021) **Teaching Materials on Brazilian Private Companies: Software Contract Negotiation.** *Global Scientific Journals*, 9(1), 2499-2508. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.10976.61448>
- Fisher, R. and Ury, W., (1981). *Getting to Yes: Negotiating Agreement Without Giving In*. Penguin Books
- González, T. A. DEFINITION OF SUCCESS OR FAILURE IN AN M&A FINANCIAL TRANSACTION.
- Hernández-Díaz, J. L., & Neves-Dos Santos, J. A. (2020). Analysis and region-wise classification of work-related accidents in civil construction industry in Brazil. *Dyna*, 87(214), 31-41. <https://doi.org/10.15446/dyna.v87n214.82592>
- International Labour Organization (ILO). (n.d.). About the ILO. Retrieved from <https://www.ilo.org/global/about-the-ilo/lang--en/index.htm>
- Kissinger, H.A., 1969. *Nuclear Weapons and Foreign Policy*. W.W. Norton.
- Lax, D.A., & Sebenius, J.K. (1986). *The Manager as Negotiator: Bargaining for Cooperation and Competitive Gain*.
- Lei nº 13.467/2017. *Reforma Trabalhista*. Brasília, DF: Presidência da República, 2017.

- Ministério do Trabalho e Emprego. (n.d.). Normas Regulamentadoras. Retrieved from <https://www.gov.br/trabalho/pt-br/inspecao/seguranca-e-saude-no-trabalho/normas-regulamentadoras>
- Navarro, R. , Dias, M. (2024) Nonmarket Negotiations:Leveraging Performance when Negotiating with Governments, Influencers, Media, NGOs, Communities and other Key Stakeholders.*BJMAS*,5(2),90-113.DOI: 10.37745/bjmas.2022.0460
- Pruitt, D.G. (1981). *Negotiation Behavior*. Academic press.
- Raiffa, H., Richardson, J., & Metcalfe, D. (2002). *Negotiation analysis: The science and art of collaborative decision making*. Harvard University Press
- Rubin, K. H., & Brown, I. D. (1975). A life-span look at person perception and its relationship to communicative interaction. *Journal of Gerontology*, 30(4), 461-468.
- Salacuse, J. (2003). *The Global Negotiator*. New York: Palgrave, Macmillan.
- Salacuse, J. (2006). *Leading Leaders: how to Manage Smart, Talented, Rich and Powerful People*. NY: AMACOM.
- Santos, M. and Dias, M. (2024) The Seven Forces That Shape Trust in Virtual Negotiation: A Qualitative Study. *Open Journal of Business and Management*, 12, 2208-2223. doi: 10.4236/ojbm.2024.124113.
- Santos, M.; Dias, M. (2024). Best Practices for Building Trust in Virtual Business Negotiations,*British Journal of Multidisciplinary and Advanced Studies*, 5(2),45-66; <https://doi.org/10.37745/bjmas.2022.0450>
- Sartori, S.; Jantsch, M. Dias, M. Navarro, R. (2020) Negotiating with Indigenous Peoples: Land Area Acquisition for the Fulkaxó Reserve in Brazil. *Saudi Journal of Economics and Finance*, 4(9), 457-461.<https://doi.org/10.36348/sjef.2020.v04i09.006>
- Saunders, M.; Lewis, P.; Thornhill, A. (2009). *Research Methods for Business Students*. Prentice Hall, 5th edition.
- Schatzki, M.; Coffey; W. (1981). *Negotiation: The Art of Getting What You Want*. Signet
- Sebenius, J. K. (2013). Level two negotiations: Helping the other side meet its "BATNA". *Negotiation Journal*, 29(2), 167-182.
- Shell, Richard (2006). *Bargaining for Advantage*. Penguin Books.
- Valente, R., and Dias, M. (2023) How To Structure A Retail Pharmacy Business Negotiation. *Gph-International Journal Of Business Management*, 6 (4), 1-15; <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7817264>
- Weber, L. L. (2010). *Expanding the definition of bounded rationality in strategy research: An examination of earnout frames in M&A*. University of Southern California.
- Yin, R. K. (2004). *The case study anthology*. Sage.
- Zartman, I. W. (1988). Common elements in the analysis of the negotiation process. *Negotiation Journal*, 4(1), 31-43.